

FIELD INVESTIGATIONS OF
EVAPORATION FROM A BARE SOIL

by
Steven Roy Evett

Copyright © Steven Roy Evett 1989

A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of the
DEPARTMENT OF SOIL AND WATER SCIENCE
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
For the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In the Graduate College
THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

1 9 8 9

THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
GRADUATE COLLEGE

As members of the Final Examination Committee, we certify that we have read
the dissertation prepared by Steven Roy Evett

entitled FIELD INVESTIGATIONS OF EVAPORATION FROM A BARE SOIL

and recommend that it be accepted as fulfilling the dissertation requirement
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Arthur W. Warrick

Arthur W. Warrick

9/18/89

Date

Allan D. Matthias

Allan D. Matthias

9/18/89

Date

Paul W. Brown

Paul W. Brown

9/18/89

Date

Donald C. Slack

Donald C. Slack

9/18/89

Date

William E. Hart

William E. Hart

9/18/89

Date

Final approval and acceptance of this dissertation is contingent upon the
candidate's submission of the final copy of the dissertation to the Graduate
College.

I hereby certify that I have read this dissertation prepared under my
direction and recommend that it be accepted as fulfilling the dissertation
requirement.

Arthur W. Warrick

Dissertation Director Arthur W. Warrick

9/18/89

Date

STATEMENT BY AUTHOR

This dissertation has been submitted in partial fulfillment of requirements for an advanced degree at the University of Arizona and is deposited in the University Library to be made available to borrowers under rules of the Library.

Brief quotations from this dissertation are allowable without special permission, provided that accurate acknowledgment of source is made. Requests for permission for extended quotation from or reproduction of this manuscript in whole or in part may be granted by the copyright holder.

SIGNED: _____

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First acknowledgment must go to the family that raised me, grandparents Ila and Clarence, parents Ruby and Loyal, sisters JoAnne, Judy and Barbara and brother David. Thank you for never ceasing, over all the years, to encourage me to be the best that I could be.

Special thanks go to Mr. Lou Stevens who's patient tutoring in the machine shop and unfailing good advice were instrumental in keeping this and other of the author's field projects on track. Likewise thanks to Sheri Musil for technical support that made the task much easier and for constancy in critical attitude that keep me on my toes. The author would like to thank Dr. Del D. Fangmeier of the Department of Agricultural Engineering for the use of the lateral move sprinkler at Marana Agricultural Center, as well as Mr. Stephen Husman for assistance in operating the sprinkler.

Art Warrick as dissertation director and teacher has been a constant inspiration. Thanks to him and to the other committee members who put many hours into reviewing a long and complex draft. Thanks also to Allan Matthias for all the sensible discussions on sensible heat flux.

Finally to the family that we are, wife Priscilla and son Matthew, you have given me more happiness than I ever thought possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	8
LIST OF TABLES	16
ABSTRACT	22
Chapter 1, INTRODUCTION	24
Sprinkler Uniformity	25
Evaporation Measurement	27
Goals	30
Chapter 2, MATERIALS AND METHODS	32
Experiment 1, Microlysimeter Performance	33
Experiment 2, Field Water Balance	41
Experiment 3, Field Scale Evaporation Measurements	49
Chapter 3, EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	54
Experiment 1	54
Experiment 2	73
Irrigation and Change in Storage	74
Irrigation Uniformity	81
Infrared Thermometry	85
Weather	91
Experiment 3	95
First Run	95
Second Run	98
Chapter 4, MICROLYSIMETER PERFORMANCE	106
Evaporation	106
Drainage	121
Soil Temperature and Heat Flux	123
Summary	143
Chapter 5, ENERGY BALANCE MODEL OF EVAPORATION: EXPERIMENTS 1 AND 2	146
Energy Balance Theory	148
Application of Model	155
Examination of Model Assumptions	162
Chapter 6, IMPROVEMENTS TO ENERGY BALANCE MODEL	168
Numerical Integration	169
Temperature Depression	173
Estimating Temperature Depression	187
First Improved Energy Balance Model	192

TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued

	Page
Aerodynamic Resistance/Transfer Coefficients	195
Stability Correction	195
Transfer Coefficient for Neutral Conditions and Second Improved Energy Balance Model	201
Empirical Transfer Coefficient and Third Improved Energy Balance Model	205
Reprise of Model Assumptions	212
Neglected Terms in Energy Balance Model	216
Soil Temperature and Heat Flux	217
Reflected Shortwave Radiation	222
Evaluation of Neglected Terms	224
Summary	228
Chapter 7, ENERGY BALANCE MODEL OF EVAPORATION:	
EXPERIMENT 3	231
Validation	232
Empirical Transfer Coefficient and Fourth Improved Energy Balance Model	235
Consideration of Additional Terms	238
Summary	246
Chapter 8, TIME INVARIANCE	
Results, Experiment 2	254
Irrigation Related Parameters	254
Profile Water Contents	259
Surface Temperature	261
Experiment 3 Results	264
Surface Temperature	264
Evaporation	267
Discussion	269
Chapter 9, SPATIAL VARIABILITY	
The Variogram	272
The Relative Variogram	274
Kriging	275
Cokriging	277
Software	278
Statistical Distribution Tests	280
Variograms	287
Catch Can Depths	287
Change in Storage	290
Profile Water Content	291
Midday Temperature Depression	292
Evaporation	298

TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued

	Page
Kriging	301
Catch Can Depths	302
Profile Water Contents	309
Summary	317
Chapter 10, SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	320
Microlysimeters	321
Recommendations	323
Energy Balance Models	324
Recommendations	327
Time Invariance and Spatial Variability	329
Time Invariance	329
Spatial Variability	332
Recommendations	334
Irrigation Uniformity	335
APPENDICES	
Appendix A: Potential Evapotranspiration Calculations	336
Appendix B: Comparison of Approximate and Exact Forms of the Stefan-Boltzmann Equation	341
Appendix C: Harmonic Analysis for Thermal Diffusivity	348
Appendix D: Heat Flux by Crank-Nicolson FD scheme	354
Appendix E: Linear Regression with Dummy Variables	360
Appendix F: Analysis of Covariance	372
Appendix G: Program MOD19J.BAS for estimation of evaporation on a half-hourly basis using EBM1, EBM2 or EBM3	385
Appendix H: Tables of Correlations for Chapter 8	394
REFERENCES	422

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Page
2-1. Field layout schematic for Experiment 1 . . .	34
2-2. An installed microlysimeter (ML)	35
2-3. Microlysimeter extraction and installation tools	38
2-4. Sampling locations for Experiments 2 and 3 .	44
2-5. Schematic of Madera probe used for volumetric soil sampling	46
2-6. Catch can schematic	50
3-1. Cumulative microlysimeter evaporation, mm, Experiment 1	56
3-2. Average, minimum and maximum measured evaporation compared to potential evapotranspiration	57
3-3. Cumulative evaporation from steel and from plastic microlysimeters of three lengths . .	60
3-4. Half-hourly average wind speeds, Experiment 1, illustrating non-constant behavior	61
3-5. Surface soil and microlysimeter temperatures, and air and dew point temperatures, Experiment 1, days 93 and 95	63
3-6. Surface soil and microlysimeter temperatures, and air and dew point temperatures, Experiment 1, days 96 and 97	64
3-7. Surface soil and microlysimeter temperatures, and air and dew point temperatures, Experiment 1, days 98 and 99	65
3-8. Surface soil and microlysimeter temperatures, and air and dew point temperatures, Experiment 1, day 100	66
3-9. Average soil temperatures at 15 cm and 30 cm for field soil and microlysimeters	67

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS - Continued

Figure		Page
3-10.	Subsurface temperatures for field soil and microlysimeter treatments	68
3-11.	Soil temperature regime in microlysimeters averaged by wall material treatment, second and ninth days after irrigation	69
3-12.	Minimum and maximum field soil and microlysimeter temperatures at the surface (top), 15 cm (middle) and 30 cm (bottom) . .	71
3-13.	Catch can depths and change in storage due to irrigation, Experiment 2, Irrigation 1 . . .	75
3-14.	Average daily water content profiles before and after Irrigation 1, Experiment 2	75
3-15.	Catch can depths and change in storage due to irrigation, Irrigation 2, Experiment 2 . . .	77
3-16.	Average daily water content profiles before and after Irrigation 2, Experiment 2	79
3-17.	Catch can depths and change in storage due to irrigation, Irrigation 3, Experiment 2 . . .	80
3-18.	Average daily water content profiles before and after Irrigation 3, Experiment 2	81
3-19.	Average daily field and reference dry soil temperatures measured by IRT at pre-dawn and midday	86
3-20.	Average daily relative humidity and air temperature, and total net and solar radiation, Experiment 2	94
3-21.	Average daily daytime and 24 hour wind speeds, and potential evapotranspiration, Experiment 2	94
3-22.	Maximum, minimum and average daily evaporation compared to potential evapotranspiration, Run 1, Experiment 3	97

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS - Continued

Figure	Page
3-23. Dew point, soil and air temperatures for days 327 through 334, Run 2, Experiment 3	99
3-24. Dew point, soil and air temperatures for days 335 through 338, Run 2, Experiment 3	100
3-25. Wind speeds averaged over 15 minutes for days 327 through 330	100
3-26. Wind speeds averaged over 15 minutes for days 331 through 338	101
3-27. Average daily soil and air temperatures measured by thermistor before and during Run 2, Experiment 3	102
3-28. Average, maximum and minimum measured evaporation compared to potential evapo- transpiration, Run 2, Experiment 3	103
4-1. Residuals from regression of total ML evaporation vs. ML length with a dummy variable for walltype	116
4-2. Linear regression of daily evaporation (mm) vs. ($T_{o,max} - T_{d,max}$)	120
4-3. Thermal diffusivities calculated using harmonic analysis, for the 0 to 15 cm layer (top) and the 0 to 30 cm layer (bottom)	131
4-4. Positive and net daily soil heat flux	134
4-5. Comparison of soil heat flux calculated using actual soil temperatures to that calculated using temperatures from Equation 60	140
4-6. Net soil heat flux for field soil and microlysimeter treatments	143

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS - Continued

Figure	Page
4-7. Photograph showing the difference in soil albedo between steel (darker) and plastic (lighter) ML's	145
5-1. A. Regression of ML evaporation vs. predictions from the energy balance model of Equation 27 showing differences due to wall material. B. Regression on same data using dummy variables to separate treatments showing differences due to wall material and length	156
5-2. Actual evaporation plotted vs. that estimated by the EBM on a daily basis	160
6-1. Comparison of actual ($T_o - T_d$) with that predicted by the sine wave approximation of Equation 35. Days 93 and 95	176
6-2. Comparison of actual ($T_o - T_d$) with that predicted by the sine wave approximation of Equation 35. Days 98 and 100	177
6-3. Comparison of evaporation estimated using Equation 35 (sine wave approximation of $T_o - T_d$) [squares] with that estimated using actual $T_o - T_d$ [crosses]. Days 93 and 95	182
6-4. Comparison of evaporation estimated using Equation 35 (sine wave approximation of $T_o - T_d$) [squares] with that estimated using actual $T_o - T_d$ [crosses]. Days 98 and 100	183
6-5. Example of linear relationship between surface temperatures of field soil and microlysimeter soil	188
6-6. Actual ML surface temperatures measured by thermistor vs. those estimated using Equation 36	191

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS - Continued

Figure	Page
6-7. Comparison of evaporation estimated using $(T_o - T_d)$ calculated with Equation 35 (sine function) to that estimated using $(T_o - T_d)$ based on scaling from field soil temperatures using IR thermometer maxima and minima and Equations 36-37	193
6-8. Comparison of the transfer coefficient used by Ben-Asher et al. (1983) with that given by Kreith and Sellers (1975) for bare soil . . .	197
6-9. Regression of actual evaporation vs. that estimated using Equation 40c numerically integrated from 7 AM to 7 AM, using the transfer coefficient for neutral atmospheric conditions (Equation 39a)	202
6-10. Transfer coefficient for sensible heat flux as predicted by two functions from the literature and the best fit functions from Experiment 2 data	207
6-11. Evaporation from ML's regressed against predictions from model using Equation 39f . .	210
6-12. Daily net soil heat flux due to the annual temperature cycle, from Equation 45	221
7-1. Transfer coefficient for sensible heat flux as predicted by two functions from the literature and by the best fit functions obtained using data from Experiment 2 (Equation 33c) and Experiment 3 (Equation 81)	237
7-2. Regression of measured vs. estimated (EBM4) evaporation for Run 2, Experiment 3	239
7-3. Diffusivities calculated by the harmonic method for 24 hour periods starting at 7 AM [top] and at midnight [middle]. Net daily soil heat flux calculated with finite difference program using these diffusivities, for surface to 15 cm and surface to 30 cm layers [bottom]	242

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS - Continued

Figure	Page
7-4. Day by day regressions of measured evaporation vs. that calculated with EBM4	245
8-1. Average relative difference for all irrigations of catch can depths at access tubes [squares], ranked and with bars showing maximum and minimum values, Experiment 2	254
8-2. Average relative difference for all irrigations of profile water content on the day after irrigation [squares], ranked and with bars showing maximum and minimum values, Experiment 2	257
8-3. Average relative difference for all irrigations of change in storage due to irrigation [squares], ranked and with bars showing maximum and minimum values, Experiment 2	259
8-4. Average relative difference for all days of daily profile water content [squares], ranked and with bars showing maximum and minimum values, all irrigations, Experiment 2	260
8-5. Average relative difference for $(T_{o,max} - T_{d,max})$ [squares], ranked and with bars showing maximum and minimum values, all irrigations, Experiment 2. Days 80 and 84 omitted	262
8-6. Average relative difference for $(T_{o,max} - T_{d,max})$ [squares], ranked and with bars showing maximum and minimum values. Experiment 2, top - Irrigation 1, bottom - Irrigation 2	263
8-7. Average relative difference for $(T_{o,max} - T_{d,max})$ [squares], ranked and with bars showing maximum and minimum values. Experiment 3, top - Run 1, bottom - Run 2	266
8-8. Average relative difference for daily evaporation from ML's [squares], ranked and with bars showing maximum and minimum values. Experiment 3, top - Run 1, bottom - Run 2	268

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS - Continued

Figure	Page
9-1. (top) Variograms for catch can depths from 3 irrigations, Experiment 2. (bottom) Relative variograms for the same 3 irrigations	289
9-2. Sample variograms for the change in storage due to irrigation, 3 irrigations, Experiment 2	290
9-3. Sample relative variogram values for profile water content after irrigation, Experiment 2	292
9-4. Sample variograms for $(T_{o,max} - T_{d,max})$, (top) after Irrigation 1, (bottom) after Irrigation 2, Experiment 2	294
9-5. Relative variograms for $(T_{o,max} - T_{d,max})$, after Irrigations 1 and 2, Experiment 2 . . .	295
9-6. Sample variograms for $(T_{o,max} - T_{d,max})$ from Experiment 3, (top) Run 1 and (bottom) Run 2	296
9-7. Sample relative variograms for $(T_{o,max} - T_{d,max})$ for Experiment 3, (top) Run 1 and (bottom) Run 2	297
9-8. Sample variograms for evaporation, (top) Run 1 and (bottom) Run 2, Experiment 3	299
9-9. Sample relative variograms for evaporation, Experiment 3, (top) Run 1 and (bottom) Run 2	300
9-10. Kriging estimates from cross-validation vs. actual catch can depths for 3 irrigations, Experiment 2	304
9-11. Contours of kriging estimates of catch can depths, Irrigation 1, Experiment 2	306
9-12. Kriging standard deviation, catch can depths, Irrigation 1, Experiment 2	307
9-13. Contours of measured catch can depths, Irrigation 1, Experiment 2	308

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS - Continued

Figure	Page
9-14. Kriging estimates from cross-validation vs. measured profile water contents for 5 days, Experiment 2	312
9-15. Kriging estimates of profile water content for day 92, Irrigation 2, Experiment 2	314
9-16. Kriging standard deviation for day 92 profile water content estimation, Irrigation 2, Experiment 2	315
9-17. Contours of measured profile water content, day 92, Irrigation 2, Experiment 2	316

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2-1.	Schedule of events for Experiment 1	37
2-2.	Instrumentation	40
2-3.	Number of data pairs per class for different class widths	43
2-4.	Schedule of events for Experiment 2	45
2-5.	Neutron probe calibration equations	48
2-6.	Schedules for Runs 1 and 2 of Experiment 3	52
3-1.	Daily microlysimeter water content changes in mm, Experiment 1	55
3-2.	Daily water contents (m^3/m^3), and cumulative change in water content and evaporation from day 93 to 101, Experiment 1	59
3-3.	Average phase shift in hours between the time or temperature maxima and minima in steel microlysimeters and that in plastic microlysimeters, at 15 and 30 cm depths	70
3-4.	Average differences between plastic and steel microlysimeters in daily soil temperature maxima and minima at 3 depths	72
3-5.	Simple statistics for daily profile water content, Irrigations 1, 2 and 3, Experiment 2	74
3-6.	Average soil water contents (m^3/m^3) by day and depth, Experiment 2, Irrigation 1	76
3-7.	Daily average water contents (m^3/m^3) at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90 and 105 cm, Irrigation 2, Experiment 2	78
3-8.	Average daily water contents (m^3/m^3) at 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90 and 105 cm, Irrigation 3, Experiment 2	80

LIST OF TABLES - Continued

Table	Page
3-9. Christiansen's uniformity coefficient (UCC), the lower quarter distribution uniformity (LQDU) and water depths (cm) for three irrigations, Experiment 2	83
3-10. Average daily pre-dawn and midday infrared thermometer readings ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) and ($T_{o,max} - T_{d,max}$), Experiment 1	88
3-11. Reference dry soil infrared thermometer readings ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), Irrigation 1, Experiment 2	90
3-12. Field temperatures at 3 locations before and after field IRT measurements, and differences between before and after IRT measurements ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) Irrigation 1, Experiment 2	92
3-13. Daily meteorological statistics and potential evapotranspiration, Experiment 2	93
3-14. First run, Experiment 3, daily values. Measured (Evap.) and potential (ET_p) evaporation, average morning (Min T_d) and midday IR temperatures (Max T_d), 24 hour and daytime average wind, precipitation, and average air temperature	96
3-15. Water balance for Run 1, Experiment 3	96
3-16. Water balance for Run 2, Experiment 3	104
3-17. Run 2, Experiment 3, daily values	105
4-1. ANOVA for total evaporation, E (mm), from microlysimeters with walltype and blocks as factors and length as a covariate	108
4-2. Repeated measures ANCOVA for daily ML evaporation	110
4-3. T tests on cumulative evaporation (mm) from day 93 - 101, comparing steel with plastic ML's at each of 10, 20 and 30 cm lengths	111

LIST OF TABLES - Continued

Table	Page
4-4. T tests on average change in water content (m^3/m^3) from day 93 to day 101 comparing steel with plastic ML's at each of 10, 20 and 30 cm lengths	113
4-5. ANOVA FOR midday ($T_o - T_d$) with length as a covariate and walltype as a factor	114
4-6. Regression analyses for daily evaporation E_a (mm) with the midday soil surface temperature depression ($T_{o,\text{max}} - T_{d,\text{max}}$) ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), as the independent variable; and with dummy variables for length and wall type treatments	118
4-7. Final water contents (g/g) in ML's compared to water contents of adjacent field soil sample with a King tube	122
4-8. T tests on the phase shift in hours between the times of temperature maxima and minima in steel microlysimeters and those in plastic microlysimeters, at 15 and 30 cm depths	124
4-9. T tests on the differences between plastic and steel microlysimeters in daily soil temperature maxima and minima at 3 depths	125
4-10. Soil average temperature, ave. T [$^{\circ}\text{C}$]; thermal diffusivity, α [$10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$]; and positive soil heat flux, G [mm water equivalent]	132
4-11. Positive (G) and net (net G) soil heat flux from implicit finite difference program IMPLIC2.BAS	141
5-1. Regression analyses for daily evaporation, E_a (mm), with the estimated evaporation, E_{est} (mm) from Equation 27 as the independent variable; and with dummy variables for length and wall type treatments	158

LIST OF TABLES - Continued

Table	Page
6-1. Regression analyses for daily evaporation, E_a (mm) with the estimated evaporation, E_{est} (mm), from numerical integration of Equation 24 as the independent variable; and dummy variables for length and wall type treatments	172
6-2. Estimated evaporation, mm, using $(T_o - T_d)$ calculated using the sine function [sine], and using actual $(T_o - T_d)$ [actual]	179
6-3. Regression analyses for daily evaporation, E_a (mm) with the estimated evaporation, E_{est} (mm) from numerical integration of Equation 39 as the independent variable; and dummy variables for length and wall type treatments	194
6-4. Regression analyses for daily evaporation, E_a (mm) with the estimated evaporation, E_{est} (mm) from numerical integration of Equation 40c as the independent variable; and dummy variables for length and wall type treatments	204
6-5. Regression analyses for daily evaporation, E_a (mm) with the estimated evaporation, E_{est} (mm) from numerical integration of Equation 31a as the independent variable; and dummy variables for length and wall type treatments	209
6-6. Average daily estimated evaporation (E_{est}) from EBM3, average daily evaporation measured by ML's (E_{ML}) and the difference (Diff) (mm)	211
6-7. Daily integrated values of the L.H.S. of Equation 8, in equivalent mm of water evaporated, compared to average evaporation from ML's [Ave. E] in mm	225
7-1. Equations for regression of actual evaporation vs. that estimated by EBM2, EBM3 and EBM4 using either thermistor #1 or #4 for temperature data	234

LIST OF TABLES - Continued

Table	Page
7-2. Apparent thermal diffusivities from harmonic analysis on 7:30 AM to 7:30 AM data and on midnight to midnight data	240
7-3. Estimated daily values (mm) of shortwave radiation and soil heat flux terms neglected in the energy balance model, and percentage of average daily evaporation that is represented by these terms	244
8-1. Linear correlations and Spearman rank-order correlations among catch can depths (cm). All irrigations, Experiment 2	255
8-2. Linear correlations and Spearman rank-order correlations among profile water contents on the day after irrigation. All irrigations, Experiment 2	256
8-3. Linear correlations and Spearman rank-order correlations among data sets for change in storage (cm) due to irrigation, for the 3 irrigations, Experiment 2	258
8-4. Correlations on relative differences of daily profile water contents, Experiment 2, all irrigations	395
8-5. Spearman rank-order correlation coefficients on daily profile water contents, Irrigations 1, 2 and 3, Experiment 2	398
8-6. Correlations on relative difference of midday ($T_{o,max} - T_{d,max}$), Experiment 2, all irrigations	400
8-7. Spearman rank correlation coefficients for daily midday ($T_{o,max} - T_{d,max}$), Irrigations 1, 2 and 3, Experiment 2	404
8-8. Correlations on relative difference for midday ($T_{o,max} - T_{d,max}$), Experiment 3, Irrigations 1 and 2	407

LIST OF TABLES - Continued

Table	Page
8-9. Spearman rank-order correlations on midday ($T_{o,max} - T_{d,max}$), Irrigations 1 and 2, Experiment 3	412
8-10. Correlations on relative differences of evaporation from ML's, Irrigations 1 and 2, Experiment 3	415
8-11. Spearman rank correlations for daily ML evaporation, Irrigations 1 and 2, Experiment 3	419
9-1. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for catch can depths at access tubes, 3 irrigations, Experiment 2	281
9-2. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for change in storage due to irrigation, 3 irrigations, Experiment 2	282
9-3. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for profile water contents, Experiment 2	283
9-4. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for midday temperature depression ($T_{o,max} - T_{d,max}$), Experiment 2 . . .	284
9-5. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for midday temperature depression ($T_{o,max} - T_{d,max}$), Experiment 3 . . .	285
9-6. Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for evaporation (mm), Experiment 3	286
9-7. Cross-validation for catch can depth data, 3 irrigations, Experiment 2	303
9-8. Linear variogram model parameters for profile water content scaled from relative variogram nugget and slope using depth at location 21 as representative of the mean depth	310
9-9. Cross-validation results for ordinary kriging on profile water contents for selected days, Experiment 2, using linear models given in Table 9-8	311

ABSTRACT

Selected components of the water and energy balances at the surface of a bare clay loam were measured at 57 locations in a 1 ha field. Spatial and temporal variability of these components were also studied. Components included evaporation, irrigation, moisture storage, sensible heat flux and long wave radiation. Sub-studies were conducted on irrigation uniformity under low pressure sprinklers; and, on steel versus plastic microlysimeters (ML) of various lengths.

An energy balance model of evaporation, requiring minimal inputs, was developed and validated giving an r^2 value of 0.78. Model improvements included an easy method of accurately estimating soil surface temperature at many points in a field, and an empirically fitted transfer coefficient function for the sensible heat flux from the reference dry soil. The omission of soil heat flux and reflected shortwave radiation terms was shown to reduce model accuracy.

Steel ML underestimated cumulative evaporation compared to plastic ML at 20 and 30 cm lengths. Cumulative evaporation increased with ML length. The 10 and 20 cm ML were too short for use over multiple days but 30 cm ML may not be long enough for extended periods. Daily net soil heat flux for steel ML averaged 44% higher than that for both plastic ML and undisturbed field soil.

Christiansen's uniformity coefficient (UCC) was close to 0.83 for each of 3 irrigations when measured by both catch cans and by profile water contents. But UCC for the change in storage due to irrigation averaged only 0.43 indicating that the high uniformity of profile water contents was more due to surface and subsurface redistribution than to the uniformity of application.

Profile water contents and catch can depths were time invariant across at least 3 irrigations. Midday soil surface temperatures and daily evaporation were somewhat less time invariant. Variogram plots for evaporation and surface temperature showed mostly random behavior. Relative variograms represented well the spatial variability of both catch can depths and profile water contents. A strong link was demonstrated between the time invariance of a variable and the usefulness of kriging on that variable.